December 2015



C5 - The Council fails to comply with the regulatory framework within which it must operate.					
Category:	Corporate Priority:		Risk Owner:	Portfolio Holder:	Tolerance:
Legal & Regulatory	Dacorum Delivers		James Deane	Cllr Graeme Elliot	Treating
Inherent Probability	Inherent Impact	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score
4	4	16	2	2	4
Very Likely	Severe	Red	Unlikely	Medium	Green
Consec	quences	Current	Controls	Assu	rance
in the discharging of one-off Generally, compliance with to considered an operational ri managed through a combina	th govern the way in which oth on a day-to-day basis and duties or actions. these frameworks is sk and is monitored and ation of the Operational Risk adicators which are reported d Scrutiny Committees. in some circumstances ations could result in a rethe Council that are h profile in nature to rategic in nature. we the correct protocols rotection legislation could quences for the Council:	The Council has a number of place which aim to provide of Members and staff should of These documents are review by Officers who are experts frequently the subject of Into provide Members with in assurance. These processes mitigate the crystallising and have resulte inherent risk score from '4', Data Protection policy & processes Management framework Safeguarding policy & processes processes from the processes of the processe	clarity in the way Council operate. ved and updated periodically in the field and are ternal Audit reviews in order dependent, third-party e likelihood of this risk ed in my reducing the Very Likely, to '2', Unlikely. cocedures ocedures rk	The Financial Regulations (Nemergency Plan were audite Internal Auditors in 2014/15 of assurance. The Risk Management frame Standing Orders were audite a SUBSTANTIAL level of assurance (Corporate Governance) and (Corporate Governance) and (Main Accounting) are in the review in 2015/16.	ed by Mazars, the Council's and achieved a FULL level ework and Procurement ed in 2014/15 and achieved trance. Safety, the Constitution the Financial Regulations

11/04/2016 04:11PM Page 1 of 16

December 2015



1. Disclosure of personal information causing harm to a	Emergency Plan
resident	Human Resources terms & conditions
2. High profile negative publicity regarding the way the	
Council operates	
3. Significant financial penalty imposed by the	
Information Commissioner	
This risk has been included on the Strategic Risk Register	
to ensure that there is scope to escalate an operational	
risk for Cabinet consideration and Audit Committee	
scrutiny should there be a period of intensified risk in a	
specific regulatory area.	

Sign Off Complete

As at the end of Quarter 3, there is no update to the probability or impact of this risk.

C6 - The Council does not attract and retain the skill sets within its workforce that will enable it to maximise opportunities for delivering its services more efficiently through increased partnership working.

Sign Off and Comments

Category:	Corporate Priority:		Risk Owner:	Portfolio Holder:	Tolerance:
People/Employees	Dacorum Delivers		Steve Baker	Cllr Neil Harden	Treating
Inherent Probability	Inherent Impact	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score
4	4	16	2	4	8
Very Likely	Severe	Red	Unlikely	Severe	Amber
Conse	Consequences Current		Controls	Assu	rance
 The quality of service deli- affected due to a lack of re- effectively deliver services 		 A core management comp programme ('Leading in Dac launched which will equip m 	orum')is about to be	• The Council's current emp 9%, which is considered to be compares well against an av	e a healthy turnover and

11/04/2016 04:11PM Page 2 of 16

December 2015



partnership working.

- There is likely to be a reduction in efficiency savings due to inability to create more effective partnerships.
- There is also likely to be a negative impact on any proposals for devolved powers.
- A failure to create more examples of effective partnership working will result in a higher likelihood of back office and front line services being reduced as the financial constraints on the Council's budget continue to tighten.

skills. The programme, which will run continously throughout the year, consists of 9 individual courses - Briefing with Impact (starting on 26/01/16)

People Management (starting on 04/02/16)

Effective Promotional Report Writing

(starting on 25/02/16)

Producing an Effective Business Case

(starting on 29/02/16)

Developing Commercial Awareness

(starting on 02/03/16)

Understanding Strategic Thinking

(starting on 16/03/16)

Governance/Constitution & Council Decision Making

Process

(starting on 12/04/16)

Process Re-engineering (starting on 19/04/16)
Finance & Budgeting (starting on 05/07/16). Some of the above dates are provisional and may change before the programme is launched. This control will reduce the risk because managers will be more aware of the importance of exploring the opportunities for increased

partnership working.

• All leadership appointments are subject to behaviour tests which will assist with assessing their understanding and approach to partnership working. This control will reduce the risk as it will ensure that candidates who are appointed to leadership positions within the Council will have demonstrated that they display a positive approach to partnership working.

sector of approximately 18% (from CIPD figures).

- Opportunities for collaboration and shared services are being actively considered across Hertfordshire in relation to Legal, HR, Information Management, Insurance and Payroll Services.
- Recruitment for leadership posts is generally competitive with a good number of applications being received from suitably qualified candidates for vacant posts. Only one leadership post has had to be readvertised in the last two years.

11/04/2016 04:11PM Page 3 of 16

December 2015



- A new workforce strategy for the period 2016 to 2020 is to be developed to ensure the Council has the right people with the right skills in place during this period. This control will reduce the risk because it will ensure that the Council has an up to date strategy for identifying what skills are required and how the Council will recruit people with those skills.
- The new approach for service planning for 2016/2017 focusses heavily on service innovation, service efficiencies and workforce planning. This will feed through in to individual objectives as part of the appraisal programme for employees which is supported by specific training development plans to help employees achieve their objectives. This control will reduce the risk because it will ensure that the need to explore service efficiencies through partnership working is cascaded down from the leadership team to individual team members.

Sign Off and Comments

Sign Off Complete

In accordance with the Audit Committee's request, specific details of the forthcoming 'Leading in Dacorum' training programme have been included as far as they are known. Some of the dates are still to be confirmed.

C7 - Controls do not develop at a sufficient pace to keep track with the continually emerging data protection risks					
Category:	Corporate Priority:		Risk Owner:	Portfolio Holder:	Tolerance:
Corporate	Dacorum Delivers		Sally Marshall	Cllr Neil Harden	Treating
Inherent Probability	Inherent Impact	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score

11/04/2016 04:11PM Page 4 of 16

December 2015



3	4	12	2	3	6
Likely	Severe	Red	Unlikely	High	Amber
Cause of Risk - The Council is good quality data and inform decisions and plans, conduct services. It is also required by the Dat Government's Public Sector Connection (CoCo) to mainta availability and appropriately data. With the Government's 'Ope flexible working patterns of partnership working and use storage sources, controls on security have become complexity for decision making due insufficient availability of data.	nation to determine sound operations and deliver a Protection Act and Network (PSN) Code of ain confidentiality, integrity, y authorised use of the en' agenda, increased of multiple information data management and ex and important. to ineffective use of or a and information sharing. In unauthorised disclosure of totential to be susceptible to exh of Data Protection Act and protection costs.	Information Security Officer include: • the Council's Corporate Informations Security owner and Information Governance stratensuring that the Council colegislation in terms of ICT Secompliance. • To ensure that the Council regarding ICT Security and Irradhered to across all the Council regarding ICT Security and Ir	formation Assurance updater of ICT Security and ategy, policy and procedure mplies with the latest curity standards and 's policies and procedures aformation governance are uncil's services. ant technical innovation and frastructure, telecom and to Information Security. wher of Information Security curity and Governance e Council in the future Security, Governance and and Freedom of	- Information Security Office - Various ICT policies and pro - Compulsory training for sta	r appointed ocedures in place

11/04/2016 04:11PM Page 5 of 16

December 2015



failure to meet duties and to protect people, finances
and assets.

5. Potential damage to DBC's reputation.

Sign Off and Comments

Sign Off Complete

In November we were received our PSN compliance - which demonstrates that our processes and network & security controls are compliant with the requirements of the Cabinet Office. We have also provided updated training on information security, data protection and FOI to Members and staff.

As part of the move to the Forum we are also developing a series of plans to ensure that we properly manage the movement and disposal of any physical information. It is also worth highlighting that in Q4 there will be an internal audit on our approach to data security.

F6 - Changes to legislation could negatively affect the medium to long term viability of the HRA Business Plan.					
Category:	Corporate Priority:		Risk Owner:	Portfolio Holder:	Tolerance:
Financial	Affordable Housing		Mark Gaynor	Cllr Margaret Griffiths	Treating
Inherent Probability	Inherent Impact	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score
4 Very Likely	4 Severe	16 Red	4 Very Likely	3 High	12 Red
Consec	Consequences Current Controls		Controls	Assurance	
Since the 'once and for all' settlement with government on the self financing of the HRA there have been major legislative and policy changes which, overall, have impacted detrimentally on the HRA Business Plan: • The re-invigoration of the RTB which has increased Elements of the changes are changes start from April 202 — proper business planning, project and programme may effective contract managements.		the disciplines of the MTFS, nagement arrangements, ent, annual efficiency flect on the existing position mitigation to the long term proposed for the new gislation is enacted – will	A remodelling of the HRA Buto take account of the impact be considered by Cabinet (in and periodically thereafter), the current new build prograthe ability to extend this fur success of the mitigations at	ct of the changes which will nitially in November 2015 This has demonstrated that amme can be completed. ther will depend on the	

11/04/2016 04:11PM Page 6 of 16

December 2015



- A change to national rent policy which moved from RPI change, compounded with previous changes, are so
- + 0.5% to CPI + 1% and ending the process of reaching target rents (leaving 60% of DBC properties below target)

The government now propose two further changes which, if enacted, will further constrain the capacity of the HRA Business Plan viability:

- A rent reduction of 1% per year for four years and a complete inability to make any progress towards convergence to target rents (a reduction of income of £30M over the first four years and over £500M over the lifetime of the HRA Business Plan)
- Enforced sales of 'high value' council homes as they become vacant to fund Housing Association RTB The first of these changes is already in draft legislation and the assumption must be that it will happen. The consequences are very significant, and even with mitigation will continue to be so:

The financial viability of the HRA to meet its current business plan objectives in full cannot be made due to loss of income and economies of scale as stock numbers diminish.

Services to tenants will have to reduce, including proposed investment in the existing stock, to deal with the scale of resource reduction.

The new build programme at its proposed scale will have to reduce, and possibly cease, in order to provide services to tenants and balance the books.

That resources provided through RTB one for one replacement will be unused and lost to the Borough as it the Borough and to increase supply of new homes.

change, compounded with previous changes, are so significant. The controls are as follows:

A complete review of the HRA Business Plan to spread the impacts over activities and over time. Initially, in order to deliver the current new build programme, this will be focused on a slowing down of the investment into current stock.

Reducing the costs of running the service through efficiency and service redesign (in partnership with tenants and leaseholders).

Improved procurement of services and renegotiation of existing contracts (though these have been procured within the last year or so and will restrict potential). This would include seeking shared services with other partners and models of operation which reduce the overheads of the HRA.

Maximising the income to the HRA by altering use of parts of the stock (increased use of HRA stock for temporary accommodation and provision of low level care as part of a tenancy where rent controls do not apply).

Altering the tenure mix of the current new build programme to include shared ownership and market sale in order to cross subsidise new rented provision. This could incorporate an element of affordable rent to increase revenue.

Development of a partnership approach to use of RTB one for one replacement funding with local Housing Associations in order to minimise losses of resource to the Borough and to increase supply of new homes.

11/04/2016 04:11PM Page 7 of 16

December 2015



is returned to the Treasury as a result of the Council's inability to provide the 70% match funding and as Housing Associations reduce their supply of new affordable homes (as a result of the same legislative changes impacting on the Council).

The Council's housing stock will progressively reduce through RTB, enforced sales and reduction in new build which will reduce its ability to meet the housing needs in the Borough and achieving the strategic objective of increasing the supply of affordable homes.

Fully exploring the potential of a Local Housing Company to improve the cost of delivery of new homes alongside the benefits to the General Fund.

Lobbying of government regarding the disproportionately severe impacts of the changes, though historical reasons, on DBC seeking some local amelioration.

Ensuring that our intelligence on the changing position and on developments within the sector are fully up to date through membership of ARCH, liaison with CIH and other key bodies.

The following controls are in place already with regard to the financial and strategic management of the HRA Business Plan:

- An annual refresh of the HRA Business Plan reported both to CMT and to Cabinet
- Monthly meeting between budget holders and accountants monitoring progress against original timeframes and costs
- Regular meetings of the Corporate New Build Group considering performance and new schemes
- CMT receive a fortnightly update on the new build programme
- Performance Board comprising Chief Officers and cabinet members receive a report on progress before each cabinet meeting
- Reports on HRA performance go the Overview and Scrutiny every quarter
- The HRA is reported as part of the overall corporate financial reporting process

11/04/2016 04:11PM Page 8 of 16

December 2015



Sign Off and Comments

Sign Off Complete

Cabinet has approved the updated Housing Revenue acciunt Business Plan to take account of the changes. The passage of the two Bills that impact on the HRA through Parliament is being monitored, together with any further infomation that becomes available.

F7 - Funding and income is not sufficient to deliver the Council's corporate objectives					
Category:	Corporate Priority:		Risk Owner:	Portfolio Holder:	Tolerance:
Financial	Dacorum Delivers		James Deane	Cllr Graeme Elliot	Treating
Inherent Probability	Inherent Impact	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score
4	4	16	3	3	9
Very Likely	Severe	Red	Likely	High	Amber
Conseq	uences	Current	Controls	Assu	rance
The government's austerity programme has led to reduced Local Authority funding over the last five years, resulting in the Council's need to find savings of £5m since 2010/11. Further funding reductions in excess of £3m are forecast over the next four years, which increase the risk of the Council being unable to deliver its vision for the borough, as detailed in the Corporate Plan. (http://www.dacorum.gov.uk/docs/default-source/council-democracy/dacorum_corporateplan_web.pdf?sfvrsn=2) Sustained funding reductions of this magnitude are not		rols that mitigate the lising through the effective ncial environment, which rward planning. These and have resulted in my core from '4', Very Likely, to a Financial Strategy (MTFS) cions of the Corporate Plannaures that the Council is	of the effectiveness of the of Council to manage the finar priorities. The audits on 'Efficiency Sav received a Full level of assurand the audit on 'Budgetary Substantial level of assurance available).	ich provide an external view ontrols implemented by the icial risks to delivering its vings' and 'Main Accounting' rance (the highest available), a Control' received a ce (the second highest external provide assurance that the lling the processes that will	

11/04/2016 04:11PM Page 9 of 16

December 2015



to the continued provision of high quality frontline services to residents.

If the Council is unable to deliver its vision or to protect its frontline service provision, it risks the following consequences:

Increased community hardship as the services provided to the most vulnerable residents in the borough are impacted, leading to delays in their accessing financial and residential help.

The impact of reducing standards of environmental services across the borough could lead to a less attractive environment and a loss of community identity and civic pride for residents.

Reputational damage as residents become dissatisfied with their experience of interacting with the Council. This risk is exacerbated by the growth of social media and the ability of residents to share their experiences with large numbers of people instantaneously.

reviewed at least annually and is approved by Full Council, thereby providing the opportunity for Members for delivering its corporate objectives. to make informed amendments to the Corporate Plan on the basis of likely funding constraints. The current version is accessible via the following link:

http://www.dacorum.gov.uk/docs/defaultsource/council-democracy/mtfs-july-cabinet-2015.pdf? sfvrsn=0

The Council's Housing Revenue Account (HRA) Business Plan maps planned income and expenditure over a thirty-year period. Government legislation that can affect the Council's delivery of social housing is incorporated within the plan and forms the basis for informed strategic decision-making.

The Council's reviewing of its Corporate Plan together with its Communications Strategy mitigate the impact of this risk, should it occur, by keeping residents informed of the pressures faced by the Council, and consequently by managing aspiration and expectation (detail below). On this basis, I have reduced the Impact score from '4', Severe, to '3', 'High.

The Council reviews its

Corporate Plan periodically to ensure that the vision for the borough remains relevant and realistic within the financial constraints outlined within the MTFS and the HRA plan. The aspirations of the Council and the

resources, and therefore to maximise the opportunity

11/04/2016 04:11PM Page 10 of 16

December 2015



community are managed through the Council's Communications Strategy both through social media, the local press and Digest.

Sign Off and Comments

Sign Off Complete

The Local Government Finance Settlement delivered by Central Government in December 2015, indicated that the level of grant funding for the Council will be reduced more quickly than is reflected in the currently approved Medium Term Financial Strategy. The Council's savings target for 2016/17 has increased by around £500k, with an additional £2m of savings to be found over the life of the MTFS (up to £5.1m).

The Council was expecting the savings targets to be higher than those within the most recently approved MTFS, and Budget Review Group had already approved a savings roadmap for officers to begin work on identifying £5m of savings over the next three years. This roadmap was a key element for the officer element of the budget-setting exercise for 2016/17.

On the basis that the Settlement does not change the practical savings targets on which officers are already working, I have not increased the rating on this risk.

As part of the 2016 Finance Settlement, Councils have been given the option to accept an indicative four year funding deal which would provide funding certainty over the period. At the time of writing the details of the 4-year deal are not available. Members will be consulted at the point a decision is required.

13 - The Borough does not secure sufficient investment in infrastructure to ensure that housing delivery and economic and community growth is sustainable in the longer term.

Category:	Corporate Priority:		Risk Owner:	Portfolio Holder:	Tolerance:
Infrastructure	Affordable Housing		Mark Gaynor	Cllr Graham Sutton	Treating
Inherent Probability	Inherent Impact	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score
4	4	16	3	3	9
Very Likely	Severe	Red	Likely	High	Amber
Consec	quences	Current	Controls	Assu	rance

11/04/2016 04:11PM Page 11 of 16

December 2015



The provision of infrastructure such as schools, health, transport and other facilities is crucial to sustainability of process (s106 and Community Infrastructure Levy) and the local community and economy. Its funding, however, is increasingly complex and difficult as central government moves away from direct provision and expects the development process and local partnerships elements is through privatised arrangements (utilities) to deliver it. Failure to provide this infrastructure will have a number of damaging consequences:

A reduction in the quality of life and opportunities for people in the Borough

A serious constraint to economic growth with the impact on the contribution to service provision through **Business Rates growth**

Increased community opposition to new developments, particularly housing, on the grounds that existing infrastructure will not cope

Damage to the image of the area, worsening of community pride and social cohesion and reputational damage to the Council

Infrastructure is provided through the development elements of funding which comes from central government (increasingly through the LEP, bidding and HCC). The responsibility for some infrastructure or arms-length government agencies such as Network Rail. The ability of the Council to control these processes is limited.

The Council is able to promote the quantum, nature and timing of growth making it more likely that the infrastructure will be delivered. It is also able to promote partnerships and use its asset base and influence to stimulate desired development. Current controls include:

Ensuring that the Local Plan (and its component elements such as the Core Strategy, site allocations, supporting policies and so on on) is up to date and sets out very clearly the requirements of proposed development. This promotes sustainable development by design, access and movement, materials. Use of masterplanning supports what is required to be delivered to produce sustainability on larger sites. The approved Council's Community Infrastructure Levy Policy and schedule (CIL) provides for the levels of contributions that must be made by developers and the purpose for which they will be spent. This also includes an element of CIL which can be spent by local communities and act to link growth directly with infrastructure provision local people want.

The process for setting out development delivered is through the Annual Monitoring Report. The agreed process for CIL will see an annual report setting out income due, achieved and expenditure made on agreed infrastructure.

Regular reports are made as set out above in controls.

11/04/2016 04:11PM Page 12 of 16

December 2015



Operating a 'open for business' approach to how the Council deals with potential development with a presumption of making acceptable development easier to deliver by proactive advice through the planning process. Allied to this is ensuring that the development management service is capable of achieving decision making within required time limits.

Stimulating required growth through the Council's own regeneration activity, including Hemel Evolution, Gade Zone and Heart of Maylands resulting in inward investment being more likely.

Increasing inward investment through initiatives such as Dacorum Look no Further, Ambassadors, direct provision of business advice and a supportive approach to new development.

Good market intelligence through regular liaison with local employers, landowners, developers, institutional investors and land agents regarding demand and expected assistance.

Partnership with the LEP as the main route for additional funding for infrastructure through influencing the Strategic Growth Plan (in which Hemel Hempstead and the M1 corridor is a priority) and bidding for resources for infrastructure (such as the £5M achieved for West Herts College)

Working to create key partnerships to bring forward development capable of funding major infrastructure (such as Gorhambury)

These controls are exercised within the following:

Monthly reporting to Hemel Evolution Board and

11/04/2016 04:11PM Page 13 of 16

December 2015



Corporate Regeneration G	Group
--------------------------	-------

- Fortnightly reporting on key projects to CMT
- Reporting to Performance Board before each Cabinet Meeting
- A clear programme for the Local Development Framework and CIL
- Quarterly reporting to Overview and Scrutiny
- Regular reporting to Cabinet
- Adherence to the agreed performance and project management processes

Sign Off and Comments

Sign Off Complete

The Government has recently issued a consulation paper on the operation of CIL and it is intended to respond via the Portfolio Holder.

R5 - The Council does not embrace the increased use of social media as a tool for social engagement and increased community engagement.

Category:	Corporate Priority:		Risk Owner:	Portfolio Holder:	Tolerance:
Reputational	Dacorum Delivers		Sally Marshall	Cllr Neil Harden	Treating
Inherent Probability	Inherent Impact	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score
3 Likely	3 High	9 Amber	2 Unlikely	3 High	6 Amber
Consec	quences	Current	Controls	Assu	rance
		In order to mitigate these risks we have put in place a number of controls:		o Corporate Information Sec o Corporate Information Tec	
- This will mean that our approach to engagement (i.e.				o Data Protection Act Policy	
letters, workshops, printed magazines) is likely to		The risk of not using social media		o Freedom of Information Policy	
exclude key demographic groups including younger				o PSN/Government Connect	(GSx) Acceptable Usage
residents and those who are	more technologically	- Our social media strategy sets out how we will		Policy	

11/04/2016 04:11PM Page 14 of 16

December 2015



 - We will not be able to respond to negative posts or views which could cause significant reputational damage or risk. 	proactively engage with residents through Twitter, Facebook, Linked In, Instagram and using online videos. - We have 13 social media accounts covering corporate and operational services including from the CSU.	o Information Security Incident Procedure o Social Media Strategy o Facebook and Twitter accounts o Social Media Management System
 We will have less opportunity to influence Governmen and media through the use of targeted campaigns and communications. The organisation may not be viewed as 'technologically forward thinking' which could lead to reputational risks. This includes more difficulty in attracting hi-tech 	campaigns, events and messages. - We interact with partners and other third parties (eg	
investment or exclusion from innovation pilots. Managing the risk of social media	of Facebook and Twitter posts. Managing the risk of social media	
 Members of the public can use DBC's profile to raise negative or incorrect statements that damage the reputation of DBC. Employees may breach data security rules regarding 	 We employ the Crowd Control system to enable the Communications team to manage and authorise services posts and tweets. The Crowd Control system also enables the 	
the management of private or confidential information. - Inappropriate or unacceptable content posted by employees	Communications team to monitor and respond to any negative posts. - Our system provides automatic moderation of abusive messages.	
- Our social media accounts are 'identity jacked' which occurs when fake accounts are set up to look like those of DBC. This is a risk because the fake accounts can post	- We provide in-house training for all staff posting on DBC social media accounts.	

11/04/2016 04:11PM Page 15 of 16

December 2015



incorrect or inappropriate information which is then	ı
associated with DBC.	

- Weak authentication in the use of social media accounts can lead to them being hacked. The hacked accounts are then used to post inappropriate, derogatory or libellous comments.
- The use of social media can make it easier for 'pressure groups' to generate support behind negative campaigns.

- We use a subscription service that manages and secures accounts.
- All staff are required to read and sign-up to a range of policies including:

Corporate Information Security Management Policy
Corporate Information Technology Security Policy
Data Protection Act Policy
Freedom of Information Policy
PSN/Government Connect (GSx) Acceptable Usage
Policy
Information Security Incident Procedure

Sign Off and Comments

Sign Off Complete

Over the last three months we have continued to manage our social media presence in line with our Social Media Strategy and ICT and security policies.

We have promoted a number of social media activities via our 9 online accounts including supporting events, sharing information and running corporate and service specific campaigns. One example included our campaign to 'encourage people to help family and friends get online'.

A Chief Executive and Directors note was also sent out to remind all staff of their responsibilities in relation to social media posts/comments. We also looked at social media security as part of our new communication and consultation strategy.

11/04/2016 04:11PM Page 16 of 16